POLITICAL LANDSCAPE AND PRIORITIES

1. [QUStDirection] Would you say things in the United States are headed in the right direction, or is the country off on the wrong track? [RANDOMIZE 1-2]
   1. Right direction 28%
   2. Wrong track 65%
   3. Unsure 7%

2. [QMidtermPrimary] In the 2022 midterm elections, have you voted or do you plan to vote in one of the parties' primary elections? [RANDOMIZE 1-2]
   1. I have voted or plan to vote in the Republican primary 38%
   2. I have voted or plan to vote in the Democratic primary 44%
   3. I don’t plan to vote in the party primary elections 12%
   4. Unsure 7%

3. [QMidtermLikely] How likely are you to vote in the 2022 midterm general elections? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]
   VERY/SOMEWHAFT LIKELY 89%
   NOT VERY/NOT AT ALL LIKELY 7%
   1. Very likely 74%
   2. Somewhat likely 15%
   3. Not very likely 4%
   4. Not likely at all 3%
   5. Unsure 4%

4. [QGenericCongressional] If the election for Congress were held today and you had to choose, would you vote for…? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]
   THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE, DEFINITELY/ PROBABLY 43%
   THE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE, DEFINITELY/ PROBABLY 49%
   1. The Republican candidate, definitely 29%
   2. The Republican candidate, probably 14%
   3. The Democratic candidate, probably 15%
   4. The Democratic candidate, definitely 33%
   5. Unsure 8%
5. **[Priorities Battery]** How much of a priority do you think each of the following should be for Congress in the next 12 months?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOP PRIORITY / IMP. BUT LOWER PRIORITY</th>
<th>NOT TOO IMP. / SHOULD NOT BE DONE</th>
<th>1. Top priority</th>
<th>2. Important but lower priority</th>
<th>3. Not too important</th>
<th>4. Should not be done</th>
<th>5. Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reducing inflation (prices of food, gas, etc)</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Reducing crime</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Reducing healthcare costs</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Improving the educational system</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Reducing the federal budget deficit</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Addressing worker shortages</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Improving immigration policy</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Reducing economic inequality</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Promoting scientific and technological innovation</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Dealing with climate change</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Promoting entrepreneurship and the creation of new businesses</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. [QLocalEconomy] Now, please think about the local area where you live.

How would you rate economic conditions in your local area these days? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]

EXCELLENT/GOOD 48%
NOT SO GOOD/POOR 49%
1. Excellent 9%
2. Good 39%
3. Not so good 36%
4. Poor 13%
5. Unsure 3%

7. [Local Changes Battery] Over the past 10 years or so, do you think each of the following has increased, stayed the same, or decreased in your local area? [DISPLAY AS GRID, OVER 2 PAGES] [RANDOMIZE ITEM ORDER] [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-5, 5-1, MATCH QUESTION WORDING TO SCALE ORDER]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost of living</th>
<th>1. Increased a lot</th>
<th>2. Increased a little</th>
<th>3. Stayed the same</th>
<th>4. Decreased a little</th>
<th>5. Decreased a lot</th>
<th>6. Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The number of people living there</th>
<th>1. Increased a lot</th>
<th>2. Increased a little</th>
<th>3. Stayed the same</th>
<th>4. Decreased a little</th>
<th>5. Decreased a lot</th>
<th>6. Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The number of jobs available</th>
<th>1. Increased a lot</th>
<th>2. Increased a little</th>
<th>3. Stayed the same</th>
<th>4. Decreased a little</th>
<th>5. Decreased a lot</th>
<th>6. Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The number of restaurants, stores, or entertainment options</th>
<th>1. Increased a lot</th>
<th>2. Increased a little</th>
<th>3. Stayed the same</th>
<th>4. Decreased a little</th>
<th>5. Decreased a lot</th>
<th>6. Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultural or racial diversity of the population</th>
<th>1. Increased a lot</th>
<th>2. Increased a little</th>
<th>3. Stayed the same</th>
<th>4. Decreased a little</th>
<th>5. Decreased a lot</th>
<th>6. Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The number of businesses starting</th>
<th>1. Increased a lot</th>
<th>2. Increased a little</th>
<th>3. Stayed the same</th>
<th>4. Decreased a little</th>
<th>5. Decreased a lot</th>
<th>6. Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sense of community among people living there</th>
<th>1. Increased a lot</th>
<th>2. Increased a little</th>
<th>3. Stayed the same</th>
<th>4. Decreased a little</th>
<th>5. Decreased a lot</th>
<th>6. Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The well-being of the people living there</th>
<th>1. Increased a lot</th>
<th>2. Increased a little</th>
<th>3. Stayed the same</th>
<th>4. Decreased a little</th>
<th>5. Decreased a lot</th>
<th>6. Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8. [Local Economic Problems Battery] How much of a problem are each of the following in your local area these days, if at all? [DISPLAY AS GRID, OVER TWO PAGES] [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-3, 3-1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Not enough affordable housing</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>High local taxes</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Not enough workers</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Not enough good jobs</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Poor infrastructure (roads, bridges, etc.)</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Poor quality public schools</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Not enough new businesses or investment in the community</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Run-down, vacant, or abandoned buildings or houses</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Poor public services (emergency services, trash/waste management, etc.)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Population loss</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. [QLocalImmEffect] What kind of effect do you think immigration has on your local economy? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-5, 5-1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VERY/SOMewhat POSITIVE</th>
<th>28%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VERY/SOMewhat NEGATIVE</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Somewhat positive</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Has an effect, but neither positive nor negative</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Somewhat negative</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Very negative</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Does not really have an effect</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now, please think about immigration to the United States as a whole, not just your local area.

10. [QUSEconImmEffect] What kind of effect do you think immigration has on the United States economy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very positive</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat positive</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has an effect, but neither positive nor negative</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat negative</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very negative</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not really have an effect</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERY/SOMewhat Positive</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERY/SOMewhat Negative</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. [QImmigrationSystem] Do you think the immigration system in the United States . . . ?

[RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Needs no changes/only minor changes</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs major changes/a complete overhaul</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs no changes</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs only minor changes</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs major changes</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs a complete overhaul</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. [Initial Immigration Policy Battery] Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policy proposals? [DISPLAY AS GRID OVER 2 PAGES, RANDOMIZE ITEMS] [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Offering a pathway to citizenship for immigrants who were brought to the U.S. illegally as children and went to school here</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Allowing more immigrants with a high level of education or specialized professional skills to come to the U.S.</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Increasing border patrol presence on the U.S.-Mexico border</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Offering a pathway to citizenship for undocumented immigrants who are living in the U.S. illegally but are contributing to society (e.g., working, paying taxes, don’t have a criminal record)</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Allowing more agricultural workers and other seasonal workers to come to the U.S.</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Allowing more immigrant entrepreneurs who want to start businesses in the U.S. to come here</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Allowing in more immigrant workers in industries where there are not enough workers</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Building a wall along the U.S.-Mexico border</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13. [QSkillQualification] Some proposals focus on prioritizing or allowing more “skilled immigration.” In your view, what should be the top factors that determine which immigrants qualify as “skilled”? Choose up to two. [SELECT UP TO TWO RESPONSES] [RANDOMIZE 1-6]
1. If they have specialized skills in STEM fields (e.g., science, technology, engineering, or mathematics) 36%
2. How much demand there is for more workers in their occupation 36%
3. If they have obtained certain professional certifications or licenses 34%
4. Years of work experience they have 26%
5. If they have a college degree (bachelor’s degree or more advanced degree) 19%
6. If they have high pay in their occupation 6%
7. Something else (Please specify) 2%
8. Unsure 13%

14. [QSskilledImmigration] For the remainder of this survey, we will use the term “skilled immigration” to refer to immigrants with a high level of educational achievement or specialized professional skills, such as scientists, medical doctors, computer programmers, engineers, or business finance professionals.

Would you support or oppose allowing more skilled immigration to the United States? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1 IN SAME ORDER AS POLICY BATTERY]
STRONGLY/SOMewhat SUPPORT 71%
STRONGLY/SOMewhat OPPOSE 20%
1. Strongly support 31%
2. Somewhat support 40%
3. Somewhat oppose 11%
4. Strongly oppose 9%
5. Unsure 9%

15. [QVoteSupport] If you heard that a candidate running for Congress supported allowing more skilled immigration, would that make you more or less likely to vote for that candidate? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-5, 5-1]
MUCH/SOMewhat MORE LIKELY 38%
MUCH/SOMewhat LESS LIKELY 18%
1. Much more likely 14%
2. Somewhat more likely 24%
3. No effect - neither more nor less likely 37%
4. Somewhat less likely 9%
5. Much less likely 8%
6. Unsure 7%
RANDOMLY SPLIT SAMPLE INTO 3 GROUPS – A, B, C. – FOR TRADEOFF QUESTIONS.

ASK SPLIT A ONLY:

16. [QTradeOff_A] Which of the following statements do you agree with more, even if neither is exactly right? [RANDOMIZE 1-2]  
(n=610)

1. For more than two decades, Congress has talked about comprehensive immigration reform but failed to deliver. Given how divided they are, Congress should focus on passing individual policy reforms that have bipartisan support, such as allowing in more skilled immigrants who can contribute to our economy. Our immigration system is broken, and some progress is better than none.  
43%

2. Congress has an obligation to pass comprehensive immigration reform that addresses the many failures of our current immigration policies, including border security and how to deal with the millions of immigrants currently in the country illegally. We can't accept individual reforms that leave these larger issues unanswered.  
43%

3. Unsure  
14%

ASK SPLIT B ONLY:

17. [QTradeOff_B] Which of the following statements do you agree with more, even if neither is exactly right? [RANDOMIZE 1-2]  
(n=679)

1. For more than two decades, Congress has talked about comprehensive immigration reform but failed to deliver. Given how divided they are, Congress should focus on passing individual policy reforms that have bipartisan support, such as allowing in more skilled immigrants who can contribute to our economy, even if those reforms don't solve the larger border crisis.  
40%

2. Congress has an obligation to pass comprehensive immigration reform that addresses the many failures of our current immigration policies, including border security and how to deal with the millions of immigrants currently in the country illegally. We can't accept individual reforms that leave these larger issues unanswered.  
46%

3. Unsure  
14%
ASK SPLIT C ONLY:

18. [QTradeOff_C] Which of the following statements do you agree with more, even if neither is exactly right? [RANDOMIZE 1-2]

   (n=736)

1. For more than two decades, Congress has talked about comprehensive immigration reform but failed to deliver. Given how divided they are, Congress should focus on passing individual policy reforms that have bipartisan support, such as allowing in more skilled immigrants who can contribute to our economy, even if those reforms don’t address the status of those brought here illegally as children but raised in the US.  

   41%

2. Congress has an obligation to pass comprehensive immigration reform that addresses the many failures of our current immigration policies, including border security and how to deal with the millions of immigrants currently in the country illegally. We can’t accept individual reforms that leave these larger issues unanswered.  

   42%

3. Unsure  

   18%

19. [Skilled Immigration Reasons Battery] Thinking again about skilled immigration, which involves immigrants with a high level of educational achievement or specialized professional skills . . .

Would you support or oppose allowing more skilled immigration to the United States for the following reasons? [DISPLAY AS GRID] [RANDOMIZE ITEM ORDER] [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Strongly Support</th>
<th>Somewhat Support</th>
<th>Somewhat Oppose</th>
<th>Strongly Oppose</th>
<th>Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. To start companies in the US that will promote economic growth and create new jobs for American workers</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. To help businesses obtain the talent they need to grow</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. To ensure the US maintains its role as the global leader in scientific and technological innovation over competitors like China</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. To reinvigorate struggling local economies</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
20. [QRecruiting] Would you support or oppose the US government actively recruiting more skilled immigrants to come to the United States? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-5, 5-1]

STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT SUPPORT 60%
STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT OPPOSE 26%
1. Strongly support 24%
2. Somewhat support 37%
3. Somewhat oppose 15%
4. Strongly oppose 11%
5. Unsure 13%

21. [QStartup Visa] Please read the following description of a proposed new type of visa:

- A "Start-up Visa" would allow foreign entrepreneurs with sound business plans, investors, and promising technologies to move to the United States. A Start-up Visa will help ensure the companies of the future are started and built in America, boosting job opportunities for American workers.

Based on this description, would you support or oppose the creation of a “Start-up Visa”? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]

STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT SUPPORT 67%
STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT OPPOSE 21%
1. Strongly support 27%
2. Somewhat support 40%
3. Somewhat oppose 11%
4. Strongly oppose 10%
5. Unsure 12%

22. [STEMGrad Visa] Please read the following description of a proposed new type of visa:

- A “STEM Grad Visa” would allow immigrants who have earned a graduate degree in the fields of Science, Technology, Engineering or Mathematics from a US university to work in the United States. This would allow talented immigrants educated in the US to stay and work for American companies and contribute to the US economy, instead of foreign competitors.

Based on this description, would you support or oppose the creation of a “STEM grad visa”? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]

STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT SUPPORT 73%
STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT OPPOSE 17%
1. Strongly support 34%
2. Somewhat support 39%
3. Somewhat oppose 10%
4. Strongly oppose 7%
5. Unsure 10%
23. [QPlace-Based Visa] Please read the following description of a proposed new type of visa:

- A “Place-based Visa” would enable skilled immigrants to live and work in communities that want and need them. This would give struggling American communities the opportunity to welcome more immigrants to boost their local economies.

Based on this description, would you support or oppose the creation of a “Place-Based Visa”? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]

STRONGLY/SOMEWHERE SUPPORT 66%
STRONGLY/SOMEWHERE OPPOSE 22%
1. Strongly support 27%
2. Somewhat support 39%
3. Somewhat oppose 13%
4. Strongly oppose 9%
5. Unsure 12%

RANDOMIZE QUESTION ORDER QMessage1 – QMessage6. FOR ALL QUESTIONS, SHOW HALF SAMPLE THE RESPONSE SCALE IN REVERSE ORDER (4-1).

24. [QMessage1] Please indicate how convincing you find this reason to support allowing more skilled immigration:

"Immigrants are twice as likely to start businesses as native-born citizens. Approximately 25 percent of new businesses in the United States are founded by immigrants, and immigrant-owned businesses employ 8 million US workers."

VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING 62%
NOT TOO/NOT CONVINCING AT ALL 27%
1. Very convincing 24%
2. Somewhat convincing 38%
3. Not too convincing 17%
4. Not convincing at all 10%
5. Unsure 10%

25. [QMessage2] Please indicate how convincing you find this reason to support allowing more skilled immigration:

“Immigrants have built many of the most successful companies in the US. In fact, nearly half of Fortune 500 companies were founded by an immigrant or the child of an immigrant, including Google, Tesla, Pfizer, and Procter & Gamble. More recently, immigrants have also started more than half of America's startup companies valued at $1 billion dollars or more.”

VERY/SOMEWHAT CONVINCING 68%
NOT TOO/NOT CONVINCING AT ALL 23%
1. Very convincing 29%
2. Somewhat convincing 39%
3. Not too convincing 14%
4. Not convincing at all 9%
5. Unsure 9%
26. [QMessage3] Please indicate how convincing you find this reason to support allowing more skilled immigration:

“Many areas of the country are suffering from a shrinking workforce and lagging economic growth. Skilled immigrants can provide a needed economic boost to struggling areas by slowing population loss and bringing high-paying jobs that increase tax revenue and improve government services. Immigrants already provide an essential economic lifeline for communities like Detroit, Michigan, or Utica, New York, helping to stabilize neighborhoods and reignite local economies.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERY/SOMETHAT CONVINCING</th>
<th>64%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOT TOO/NOT CONVINCING AT ALL</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Very convincing</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Somewhat convincing</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Not too convincing</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Not convincing at all</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Unsure</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. [QMessage4] Please indicate how convincing you find this reason to support allowing more skilled immigration:

“Research shows that skilled immigrants actually raise wages and increase economic productivity and job opportunities for U.S. workers. Microsoft, for example, has said that it brings on an average of four additional employees to support each skilled worker hired on a visa.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERY/SOMETHAT CONVINCING</th>
<th>65%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOT TOO/NOT CONVINCING AT ALL</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Very convincing</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Somewhat convincing</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Not too convincing</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Not convincing at all</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Unsure</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28. [QMessage5] Please indicate how convincing you find this reason to support allowing more skilled immigration:

“One of the biggest challenges dragging down the US economy is critical labor shortages in essential fields from engineering to health care. In a recent survey, 52 percent of businesses said they were having trouble finding the skilled workers they need. Attracting more skilled immigrants to our country is an effective way to address these immediate needs.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERY/SOMETHAT CONVINCING</th>
<th>66%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOT TOO/NOT CONVINCING AT ALL</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Very convincing</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Somewhat convincing</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Not too convincing</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Not convincing at all</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Unsure</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29. [QMessage6] Please indicate how convincing you find this reason to support allowing more skilled immigration:

“Other countries such as Canada and the UK are making it easier for skilled immigrants who invent new technologies or start new businesses to move there. Without opening up similar such pathways for skilled immigrants to come to the United States, we stand to lose our role as the global leader in technology and innovation to allies and adversaries alike.”

VERY/SOMEWHAET CONVINCING 65%
NOT TOO/NOT CONVINCING AT ALL 25%
1. Very convincing 26%
2. Somewhat convincing 39%
3. Not too convincing 16%
4. Not convincing at all 9%
5. Unsure 10%
Now, a few more questions for statistical purposes...

30. [QNativity] Where were you born?
   1. In the United States 94%
   2. In a foreign country 5%
   3. Prefer not to say 1%

31. [QParentsNativity] Were either of your parents born in a foreign country?
   1. Yes, at least one of my parents was born in a foreign country 22%
   2. No, my parents were born in the United States 77%
   3. Prefer not to say 1%

32. [QEmployment] What is your current employment status?
   1. Employed full-time 40%
   2. Employed part-time 10%
   3. Freelance, contract, or temporary work 2%
   4. “Gig economy” work like ride shares, food delivery, or dog walking 1%
   5. Unemployed and looking for work 6%
   6. Stay-at-home parent or caregiver 6%
   7. Full time student 2%
   8. Retired 28%
   9. Something else 4%
  10. Prefer not to say 1%

33. [QIncome] Which range includes your annual household income?
   1. Less than $30,000 per year 24%
   2. $30,000 to $49,999 per year 21%
   3. $50,000 to $74,999 per year 22%
   4. $75,000 to $125,000 per year 20%
   5. More than $125,000 per year 11%
   6. Prefer not to say 2%

34. [QUrbanRural] How would you describe the area in which you live? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]
   1. City 23%
   2. Suburb near a city 46%
   3. Small town not near a city 11%
   4. Rural or country area 20%
35. [QMarital] What is your current marital status?
   1. Single, never married 29%
   2. Married 56%
   3. Separated 1%
   4. Divorced 9%
   5. Widowed 4%
   6. Prefer not to say *

36. [QKids] Do you currently have children under the age of 18 in your home?
   1. Yes 29%
   2. No 70%
   3. Prefer not to say *

37. [QParty] Regardless of how you typically vote, would you say you consider yourself a…?
   [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-5, 5-1]
   STRONG/NOT VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN 37%
   STRONG/NOT VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT 42%
   1. Strong Republican 25%
   2. Not very strong Republican 12%
   3. Independent 20%
   4. Not very strong Democrat 13%
   5. Strong Democrat 28%
   6. A member of another party 1%
   7. Unsure 1%

IF INDEPENDENT OR UNSURE (QParty= 3 OR 7), ASK:
38. [QPartyLean] Which of the following statements would you say best describes you?
   [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-4, 4-1]
   (n=450)
   TOTAL VOTES FOR REPUBLICANS 29%
   TOTAL VOTES FOR DEMOCRATS 29%
   1. I almost always vote for Republican candidates 9%
   2. I vote for Republicans more often than I vote for Democrats 20%
   3. I vote for Democrats more often than I vote for Republicans 18%
   4. I almost always vote for Democratic candidates 11%
   5. I vote equally for Democrats and Republicans 26%
   6. Unsure 16%

PARTY CONSOLIDATED [QParty + QPartyLean]
   1. Total Republican+Republican- leaning Independents 43%
   2. Total Democratic+Democratic- leaning Independents 48%
   3. Total Independent 5%
   4. Total Member of Another Party 1%
   5. Unsure 3%
39. [QIdeology] Regardless of how you typically vote, would you say you consider yourself to be…? [RANDOMIZE SCALE 1-5, 5-1]

- VERY/SOMewhat CONSERVATIVE: 35%
- VERY/SOMewhat LIBERAL: 29%
- Very conservative: 18%
- Somewhat conservative: 18%
- Moderate: 33%
- Somewhat liberal: 16%
- Very liberal: 13%
- Unsure: 3%

40. [Q2020Ballot] For which candidate did you vote in the 2020 presidential election?

- Donald Trump: 44%
- Joe Biden: 48%
- Someone else: 2%
- I did not vote: 6%
- Prefer not to say: 1%
41. [QConsent] In connection with your participation in this survey, Echelon National Research would like to: Append or have appended to your survey results, certain voter information about you from databases related to voter registration and participation. You may be individually identified by us or our service providers for the purposes of such append or data connection and combination. This data will only be used for research purposes and analysis. Responses to this survey will remain anonymous and you will never be identified as a participant in any reports on the results. You will not be sold anything at any point in this survey, and will never be contacted by us or our end client for political or marketing reasons.

The above is an express requirement for your participation in this survey. If you do not agree with the above, you can stop taking the survey by leaving this page.

To continue taking the survey, please enter your first name, last name, and ZIP code as listed on your voter registration.

First Name [OPEN-END – TEXT BOX]
Last Name [OPEN-END – TEXT BOX]
ZIP code (5 digits) [OPEN-END – TEXT BOX]

42. [QRegVote] Are you currently registered to vote?
   1. Yes 100%
   2. No TERMINATE -
   3. Unsure TERMINATE -

43. [QAge] What is your age?
   1. 18-29 18%
   2. 30-39 16%
   3. 40-49 15%
   4. 50-64 26%
   5. 65+ 25%

44. [QIndustry] Do you or does anyone in your household work in any of the following industries? [SELECT MULTIPLE] [RANDOMIZE 1-7]
   1. Technology 3%
   2. Sales 5%
   3. Healthcare or the pharmaceutical industry 8%
   4. Manufacturing or construction 5%
   5. Food service or the restaurant industry 5%
   6. Journalism or the media TERMINATE -
   7. Market research TERMINATE -
   8. Public relations TERMINATE -
   9. None of these 77%
45. [QGender] Do you describe yourself as a man, a woman, or in some other way?
   [RANDOMIZE 1-2]
   1. Man 47%
   2. Woman 53%
   3. Some other way *

46. [QRace] What is your race or ethnicity? [SELECT MULTIPLE] [RANDOMIZE 1-6]
   1. White 68%
   2. Black or African American 14%
   3. Hispanic or Latino 12%
   4. Asian 5%
   5. Native American or Alaska Native 3%
   6. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander *
   7. Something else (Please specify) 1%

47. [QEducation] What is the highest level of education you have completed?
   1. High school or less 24%
   2. Some college 26%
   3. Associate degree 14%
   4. Bachelor’s degree 24%
   5. Graduate degree 12%
   6. Prefer not to say *

48. [QState] In which state do you live?
   1. States in the Northeast 18%
   2. States in the Midwest 22%
   3. States in the South 38%
   4. States in the West 22%
METHODOLOGY

Echelon Insights conducted a survey on behalf of the Economic Innovation Group to examine voters’ views on immigration and immigration policy reforms. The survey was fielded online from August 12-15, 2022 in English among a sample of 2,025 registered voters nationwide using non-probability sampling.

The sample was drawn from the Lucid sample exchange based on gender, age, race/ethnicity, education, and region quotas. To verify registration status, the sample was matched to the L2 voter file. The sample was weighted to population benchmarks for registered voters on gender, age, race/ethnicity, education, region, metro vs. non-metro area, and 2020 presidential vote. Benchmarks for gender, age, region, and metro vs. non-metro area were based on L2 voter file data on current voter registration. Benchmarks for race/ethnicity and education were derived from the US Census Bureau’s American Community Survey demographic data adjusted to match voter registration estimates from the November 2020 Current Population Survey Voting and Registration Supplement.

Data quality measures included the use of an attentiveness check (commonly referred to as a “trap question”) and measures to prevent and remove duplicate responses based on IP address and voter file matches.

Calculated the way it would be for a random sample and adjusted to incorporate the effect of weighting, the margin of sampling error is +/- 2.6 percentage points. This estimate of precision does not account for other potential sources of error that can occur in online surveys with non-probability samples, including, but not limited to, non-coverage due to the survey being conducted online, or possible failure to correct for ways people who have agreed to join an online panel or take online surveys might not be representative of the general population (e.g., on measures that are not included as weighting variables).